

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,995	10/20/2003	Christian Bregaint	244190US2X	1369
22850	7590 06/22/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			BENSON, WALTER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2858	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>H</i>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/687,995	BREGAINT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Walter Benson	2858			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicated Reply	tion appears on the cover :	sheet with the correspondence addi	ess		
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statum of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the distribution of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ation. 195, a reply within the statutory mining ry period will apply and will expire SI by statute, cause the application to the statute.	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <i>4/13/05</i>				
'=	•	⊠ This action is non-final				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are valued. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-20 and 22-25</u> is/are rejudiction(s) <u>9,10 and 21</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from considera ected.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠ .	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	3 is/are: a)⊠ accepted on to the drawing(s) be held in a correction is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority does 2. Certified copies of the priority does	cuments have been receiv cuments have been receiv he priority documents hav Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	red. red in Application No re been received in this National S	tage		
2) 🔀 Notice 3) 🔯 Inforn	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/20/03</u> .	948) P D/SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 ther:	152)		

Art Unit: 2858

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment A, received on 4/13/05, has been entered into record. In this amendment, claims 15-25 have been added.

2. Claims 1-25 are now pending.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Item 100, shown in Figure 5 is not described in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2858

5. Claims 1-7, 11-20, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehr-Ayin et al. (US Patent No. 5, 146,172 and Mehr-Ayin hereinafter in view of Roberts Et al. (US Patent No 6,570,385 B1 and Roberts hereinafter)

6. As to claims 1-7, 11-20, and 22-24, Mehr-Ayin discloses a reader appliance for reading identification connectors for airplane engines, the connector comprising:

a plurality of contacts connected to a decoding circuit, each contact corresponding to a binary digit, one or more of the binary digits corresponding to information relating to characteristics of the engine [claims 1,15] (col.3, lines 17-21 and col. 4, lines 11-20);

the appliance including connection means suitable for receiving at least one identification connector [claims 1, 15] [col. 11, lines 46-49];

where the processor means include software means for decoding information relating to characteristics of the engine from the binary data read in the identification connector [claim 2] (col. 9, lines 40-44);

where the identification connector is a multipin connector, and wherein the connection means of the appliance comprise at least one multipin connector suitable for receiving the identification connector [claim 5] (col. 11, lines 46-50);

where the connection means comprise at least one connector for receiving respectively at least one specific model of identification connector [claim 6] (col. 11, lines 46-47);

where the processor means include software means for detecting the model of identification connector that is connected to the appliance [claims 7, 16] (Abstract lines 1-4); means for updating the processor means [claim 13] (col. 4, lines 17-20).

Art Unit: 2858

Mehr-Ayin did not expressly disclose:

the connection means being connected to processor means responding to control members in order to display on a display device the information contained in the connector [claims 1, 15];

a reader appliance having the control members comprise at least one button for causing information encoded in the identification connector connected to the reader appliance to be displayed, successive items of information being displayed in response to successive presses on the button [claims 3, 11];

where the control members comprise software means enabling information to be displayed automatically [claims 4, 12];

including self-contained power supply means [claim 14];

a self test button configured to initiate a self test of the processor [claim 17];

where the processor is further configured to automatically decode the plurality of information sequentially and the display is configured to display the plurality of information decoded by the processor [claim 18];

where the appliance is portable and the identification connector is removable from the engine [claims 19, 20];

a link unit connected to the processor, the link unit being configured to update the processor [claim 22];

where the link unit is a serial R5232 port [claim 23];

an input/output interface connecting the identification connector to the processor via a bus [claim 24];

Art Unit: 2858

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Mehr-Ayin, as evidenced by Roberts.

Roberts discloses a portable handheld tester that includes a connector having:

the connection means being connected to processor means responding to control members in order to display on a display device the information contained in the connector [claims 1, 15] (col. 6, lines 15-21) for evaluation.

a reader appliance having the control members comprise at least one button for causing information encoded in the identification connector connected to the reader appliance to be displayed, successive items of information being displayed in response to successive presses on the button [claims 3, 11] (col. 14, lines 4-10);

where the control members comprise software means enabling information to be displayed automatically [claims 4, 12] (col. 14, lines 30-33);

including self-contained power supply means [claim 14] (col. 12, lines 30-32);
a self test button configured to initiate a self test of the processor [claim 17] (col. 13, lines 45-48);

where the processor is further configured to automatically decode the plurality of information sequentially and the display is configured to display the plurality of information decoded by the processor [claim 18] (col. 6, lines 14-16);

where the appliance is portable and the identification connector is removable from the engine [claims 19, 20] (col. 5, lines 29-31);

a link unit connected to the processor, the link unit being configured to update the processor [claim 22] (col. 6, lines 58-62);

Art Unit: 2858

where the link unit is a serial R5232 port [claim 23] (col. 6, lines 1-4);

an input/output interface connecting the identification connector to the processor via a bus [claim 24] (col. 6, lines 10-11);

Given the teaching of Roberts, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Mehr-Ayin by employing the well known or conventional features of monitoring systems, such as disclosed Roberts, in order to accurately tabulate and display the data on the engine identification system provided for by Mehr-Ayin.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehr-Ayin in view of Roberts as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Moore (US Patent No. 4,787,053 and Moore hereinafter).

Although the system disclosed by Mehr-Ayin and Roberts shows substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed in the paragraphs above), it fails to disclose:

where the processor means include software means for testing the parity of the encoding circuit of the identification connector [claim 8];

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Mehr-Ayin in view of Roberts, as evidenced by Moore.

Moore discloses a comprehensive turbine engine monitoring and recording system having:

where the processor means include software means for testing the parity of the encoding circuit of the identification connector [claim 8] (col. 20, lines 50-57).

Art Unit: 2858

Given the teaching of Moore, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Mehr-Ayin in view of Roberts by employing the well known or conventional features of monitoring systems for engines, such as disclosed Moore, in order to efficiently and accurately tabulate and display the basic data on an aircraft turbine engine.

8. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehr-Ayin in view of Roberts as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Bayer et al. (US Patent No. 4,523,457 and Bayer hereinafter).

Although the system disclosed by Mehr-Ayin and Roberts shows substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed in the paragraphs above), it fails to disclose:

where the input/output interface is a diode matrix.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Mehr-Ayin in view of Roberts, as evidenced by Bayor.

Bayor discloses an engine monitoring system having:

where the input/output interface is a diode matrix (col. 3, lines 38-40).

Given the teaching of Bayor, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Mehr-Ayin in view of Roberts by employing the well known or conventional features of monitoring systems for engines, such as disclosed Bayer, in order to digitize the data values.

Art Unit: 2858

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 9, 10, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record fails to teach in combination as claimed an apparatus for reading identification connectors which includes at least one protection connector containing an autotest circuit. The processor means include software means for testing the reader appliance from the auto/self test circuit of the protection connector.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims filed, 4/13/05 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 11. In the remarks applicant argued in substance that:
 - (1) Mehr-Ayin does not disclose a display;
 - (2) Mehr-Ayin is silent on detection of a model number of identification connector;
 - (3) Mehr-Ayin is silent on software to cause test results to be displayed automatically,
 - (4) Mehr-Ayin is silent on means for updating processor,
 - (5) Mehr-Ayin and Moore fail to provide required evidence of motivation.
- 12. Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's remarks:

Art Unit: 2858

As to point (1), Mehr-Ayin in combination with Roberts does disclose the connection means being connected to processor means responding to control members in order to display on a display device the information contained in the connector [claims 1, 15] (col. 6, lines 15-21) for evaluation;

As to point (2), Applicant failed to consider the teaching of the Mehr-Ayin reference as a whole where the processor means include software means for detecting the model of identification connector that is connected to the appliance [claims 7, 16] (Abstract lines 1-4);

As to point (3), Mehr-Ayin in combination with Roberts does disclose where the control members comprise software means enabling information to be displayed automatically [claims 4, 12] (col. 14, lines 30-33);

As to point (4), Applicant failed to consider the teaching of the Mehr-Ayin reference as a whole wherein means for updating the processor means [claim 13] (col. 4, lines 17-20);

13. As to point 5, In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both references disclose engine identification and monitoring systems.

Art Unit: 2858

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter Benson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2227. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter Benson
Patent Examiner

June 16, 2005